

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,498	05/0	1/2001	Noribumi Koitabashi	684.3183	2379	
5514	7590	01/20/2004		EXAMINER		
	RICK CELLA FELLER PLA	A HARPER & S	NGUYEN, THINH H			
	K, NY 1011			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2861		
				DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/845,498	KOITABASHI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thinh H Nguyen	2861				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•					
2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: claims 1, 13, 45, 48 present new issues t	hat has not been previously exami	<u>ned.</u> .				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-11,13-15,17-19,21,23-26,28-	31,34-37,39-42 and 45-50.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
0. Other:						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection to the claimed limitation is maintained as indicated in the final rejection. Also, see common base color substitution with regarding to claims 23, 28 subject matter. As for claims 34, 39 subject matter, Hermanson as combined taught that the missing cyan or magenta will be replaced by black ink droplets (col.5, lines 18-23).

لرر

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800